

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS
EASTERN DIVISION**

Sheryl McCorkell,

Plaintiff,

v.

Halsted Financial Services, LLC
c/o Syed Ali
8548 Karlov Avenue
Skokie, IL 60076,

Defendant.

Case No.

COMPLAINT

Jury Demand Requested

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1- This court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1337, 1367; and 15 U.S.C. §1692k(d).
- 2- Venue is proper because a substantial part of the events giving rise to this claim occurred in this District.

PARTIES

- 3- Plaintiff incurred an obligation to pay money, the primary purpose of which was for personal, family, or household uses (the "Debt").
- 4- Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Indiana.
- 5- Defendant is a corporation with its principal office in the State of Illinois.
- 6- Defendant uses instruments of interstate commerce for its principal purpose of business, which is the collection of debts.
- 7- Defendant regularly attempts to collect, or attempts to collect, debts owed or due another.
- 8- At all times relevant, Defendant owned the Debt or was retained to collect the Debt.

FACTS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

- 9- On or around December 24, 2013, Defendant communicated with Plaintiff.
- 10- During this communication, Plaintiff notified Defendant that he was represented by an attorney to file for bankruptcy and provided the attorney's contact information to Defendant.
- 11- Despite having notice of Plaintiff's representation, Defendant telephoned Plaintiff at least one more time thereafter.
- 12- Defendant damaged Plaintiff.
- 13- Defendant violated the FDCPA.

COUNT I

- 14- Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 15- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692b(6) by communicating with a consumer after being notified that the consumer was represented by an attorney.

COUNT II

- 16- Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 17- Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 18- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692f by engaging in unfair and/or unconscionable means to collect, or attempt to collect, the Debt.

COUNT III

- 19- Plaintiff incorporates all the allegations and statements made above as if reiterated herein.
- 20- Defendant violated 15 USC § 1692e by engaging in false, deceptive, or misleading methods to collect a debt.

JURY DEMAND

21- Plaintiff demands a trial by jury.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

22- Plaintiff prays for the following relief:

- a. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's actual damages, as determined at trial, suffered as a direct and proximate result Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Judgment against Defendant for \$1,000 in statutory damages for Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. Judgment against Defendant for Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees and costs incurred in this action, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other legal and/or equitable relief as the Court deems appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

Meier LLC

By: /s/ Richard J. Meier

Richard J. Meier, Esq.

53 W. Jackson Blvd, Suite 304

Chicago, IL 60604

Tel: 312-242-1849

Fax: 312-242-1841

richard@meierllc.com

Attorney for Plaintiff